PROCESS SUPPORT GROUP - MEETING MINUTES



Meeting Date: February 21, 025

			☑ John Ginsburg
Members in Attendance			☑ April Chastain
	Council Co-Chairs:	Members:	
	⊠ Lori Hall		☑ Traci Boyle-Galestiantz
	□ Justine Munds		⊠Margaret Mallatt
		⊠ Julia Nicholson	
	Recorder:	☐ Lisa Reynolds	⊠ Adrienne Scritsmier
	⊠ Jen Miller	□ Lucan Hann □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □	□ Laura Lundborg

Topic/Items	Category	Notes	Decisions/Action Items
1. Recap	☑ Discussion☐ Decision☐ Advocacy☐ Information	 The Process Support Group welcomed several new members! The group reviewed their top three priorities for the year, with a focus on improving shared governance processes and addressing concerns about compliance and inclusivity. They also discussed the need for more feedback processes, the potential for employee compensation for shared governance work, and the formation of a work group to tackle these topics. The conversation ended with plans to create a document for self-selection of subgroup work, present a recommendation to the oversight group, and schedule a follow-up meeting to further discuss these topics. Justine led discussion where the team reviewed and finalized their top three priorities for the year. The priorities were selected from a long list of potential tasks gathered from various councils 	See "Next Steps"

- and shared governance groups. Justine also addressed an issue where a document was mistakenly deleted and made sure to set the document's access to only the members of the team's group. The team was asked to review the priorities document and provide feedback.
- The group deliberated on the inclusion of specific community collaborators and resources in their processes. The concept of two types of council members standing and additional was discussed, with a suggestion to clarify this in the shared governance council charters. The team also considered the need for resources, such as website changes and potential financial compensation for part-time classified or associate faculty members. The discussion concluded with the understanding that the subgroups would work on these topics and make recommendations at a later stage.
- Justine led a discussion about the need for a resource or an outcome in the group's process. The group agreed that it was more likely an outcome. They also discussed the need for editing or adding to the assessment priority. Jenny raised a concern about councils making decisions without involving those affected, which led to a discussion about the need for a process guideline. The group decided that this issue should be addressed separately and not as part of the assessment. Adrienne suggested that the issue might be more suitable for the oversight group, not the process support group. Justine clarified the difference between the two groups, with the oversight group focusing on how processes are followed. Lori asked about the established channel of communication between their group and the oversight group, to which Justine and Loris confirmed that each council's co-chairs are part of the oversight group and can bring concerns to the agenda. Jenny/Jen also acknowledged the growing pains associated with positive change.

		The group discussed the future of the Process Support Group once most processes are in place, and its role in shared governance. Justine clarified that the PSG is responsible for maintaining and improving shared governance processes, including onboarding, training, communication, and documentation, and will continue indefinitely to assess and maintain processes. The group also discussed the importance of the shared governance assessment and the development of the shared governance handbook. They agreed to collaborate with other councils and the college relations and marketing team for physical changes. Adrienne expressed satisfaction with the shared governance assessment, and Justine ended the conversation by discussing the PSG's priorities for the year, including the development of a process for updating the decision-making framework.
2. Next Steps	☑ Discussion☑ Decision☐ Advocacy☐ Information	 Lori and Justine to create and send out a document for subgroup self-selection. Lori and Justine to send a reminder to review the recommendation document for the oversight group. Lori and Justine to prepare a mini-orientation or overview document for new members. Lori and Justine to add time for Q&A and orientation discussion to the next meeting agenda. Julia to review the recommendation document and add suggestions asynchronously. John to review the recommendation document from a student perspective. Process Support Group members to review the recommendation of priorities document before the next oversight group meeting in March. The team will create subgroups to work on these priorities, with subgroup membership determined through a document sent out to all members.